

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	•		wasnington, D.C. 20231	RG
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/903,770		MOECKEL	В	203979US0X
Γ		·	¬ L	EXAMINER
022850 OBLON SPIV	AK MCCLELLA	HM22/1109 ND MAIER & NEUSTADI	ERON)	DA.C
FOURTH FLO	IOR	117.51010.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	RSON DAVIS	HIGHWAY		,
ARLINGTON	VA 22202		1652 Date Mailed	<i>⊌</i>
				11/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/903,770

Applic , (3)

Moeckel et al.

Examiner

Christian L. Fronda

Art Unit

		Omisuali E. Fronta	1052			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she t with the corres	Spondence address			
	for Reply					
IHF	A SHORTENED, STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
an	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	ication				
- If the be	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day: e considered timely.	ys, a reply within the statutory minimum	•			
- If NO	Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ommunication.					
- Failur - Any r	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to become mailing date of this communication,	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status						
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		ction is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosec arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	cution as to the merits is O.G. 213.			
	tion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u>					
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are				
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	i	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	i				
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	i	is/are objected to.			
	Claims <u>1-39</u>					
Applicat	ition Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved t	b)□ disapproved			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav		·			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure set the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e)			
Attachme			<i>.</i>			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	Motel			
6) 🗌 Not	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	·· —			
7) 🔲 Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-19, 37, and 38, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide, vector, host cell, and a method for making the LysR1 protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - II. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to a Coryneform bacterium comprising an attenuated lysR1 gene, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
 - III. Claim 3, drawn to *Escherichia Coli* DSM 13616, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
 - IV. Claims 23-28, drawn to a process for producing L-amino acids using a bacterial cell comprising an attenuated lysR1 gene, classified in class 435, subclass 115.
 - V. Claims 23 and 29, drawn to a process for producing L-amino acids using a bacterial cell comprising an attenuated lysR1 gene and one gene whose expression is enhanced, wherein said gene is selected from the group consisting of dapA, eno, zwf, pyc, and lysE, classified in class 435, subclass 115.
 - VI. Claims 23 and 30, drawn to a process for producing L-amino acids using a bacterial cell comprising an attenuated lysR1 gene and one gene whose expression is attenuated, wherein said gene is selected from the group consisting of pck, pgi, and poxB, classified in 435, subclass 115.
 - VII. Claims 31 and 32, drawn to a process for screening for polynucleotides which encode a protein having LysR1 transcriptional regulatory activity by hybridizing a polynucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - VIII. Claims 33 and 35, drawn to a method for detecting a nucleic acid by hybridizing a probe or primer to a nucleic acid sample, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - IX. Claims 34 and 36, drawn to method for producing nucleic acid which is at least 70% homologous to SEQ ID NO: 1, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - X. Claim 39, drawn to an isolated polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence

Art Unit: 1652

of SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
Inventions of Groups I-III and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The products of Groups I-III and X are independent chemical entities and require different literature searches.

Inventions of Groups IV-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The processes of Groups IV-IX are distinct both physically and functionally and require different process steps, reagents, and parameters.

The inventions of Groups II, III, and X are unrelated to the inventions of Groups IV-IX. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Each of the processes of Groups IV-IX do not require the products of Groups II, III, and X.

Inventions I and IV-IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as using the polynucleotide in a recombinant process for producing a recombinant polypeptide.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Claims 29 and 30 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

If Group V (claims 23 and 29) is elected, then Applicants are required to elect one gene selected from the group consisting of dapA, eno, zwf, pyc, and lysE for examination.

If Group VI (claims 23 and 30 is elected, then Applicants are required to elect one gene selected from the group consisting of pck, pgi, and pgi for examination.

Art Unit: 1652

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L. Fronda whose telephone number is (703)305-1252. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703)308-3804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)308-0294. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

CLF

PONNATHAPUACHUT MURTHY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600